

REMARKS

Claims 2, 5-7, 10, 11, 13, 15-19, 21, 23, 26-28, 31, 32, 34, and 36-40 have been amended and claims 1, 9, 20, 22, 30 and 41 have been canceled.

Claims 2-8, 10-19, 21, 23-29, and 31-40 remain in the application.

The Examiner objected to the Abstract because it included "legal phraseology". In response, the Abstract has been amended to replace a legal "said" with a conventional "the".

The specification paragraph that begins at line 5 of page 20 has been corrected to refer to the location information selector 106 of FIG. 6 for selection of location information. This paragraph previously inadvertently referred to the location information generator 108 which does not select location information but, rather, provides "all clients" locations to the location information selector 106 which then provides a selected location information to the transmit processor 22 (see FIG. 6). This correction amends the specification to the filed drawings.

A replacement drawing sheet has been provided which corrects one of the reference numbers 62 in FIG. 4D to be reference number 64. This correction conforms FIG. 4D to the filed specification (e.g., see the first paragraph on page 14 of the specification).

The Examiner stated that claims 2, 7, 11, 13 and 16 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all limitations of base and intervening claims.

Accordingly,

a) claim 2 has been amended to be in independent form with claims 3 and 4 remaining dependent from claim 2 – in addition, claims 5 and 6 have been amended to depend from claim 2;

b) claim 7 has been amended to be in independent form with claim 8 remaining dependent from claim 7 – in addition, claim 9 has been canceled and claim 10 has been amended to depend from claim 8 and add limitations of original claims 9 and 10;

c) claim 11 has been amended to be in independent form with claim 12 remaining dependent from claim 11;

d) claim 13 has been amended to be in independent form with claim 14 remaining dependent from claim 13 – in addition, claim 15 has been amended to depend from claim 14;

e) claim 16 has been amended to be in independent form and claims 17-19 and 21 have been amended to depend from claim 16 – a typographical error has been corrected in claim 21; and

f) claims 1 and 20 have been canceled.

The Examiner further stated that claims 23, 28, 32, 34 and 37 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all limitations of base and intervening claims.

Accordingly,

a) claim 23 has been amended to be in independent form with claims 24 and 25 remaining dependent from claim 23 – in addition, claims 26 and 27 have been amended to depend from claim 23;

b) claim 28 has been amended to be in independent form with claim 29 remaining dependent from claim 28 – in addition, claim 30 has been canceled and claim 31 has been amended to depend from claim 29 and add limitations of original claims 30 and 31;

c) claim 32 has been amended to be in independent form with claim 33 remaining dependent from claim 32;

d) claim 34 has been amended to be in independent form with claim 35 remaining dependent from claim 34 – in addition, claim 35 has been amended to depend from claim 34;

e) claim 37 has been amended to be in independent form and claims 38-40 have been amended to depend from claim 37; and

f) claims 22 and 41 have been canceled.

Applicants therefore request reconsideration and withdrawal of objections and rejections and an early allowance of claims 2-8, 10-19, 21, 23-29, and 31-40.

Respectfully submitted,

Kris Kelkar

By


Joseph Compton

Registration No. 34,308

KOPPEL, JACOBS, PATRICK & HEYBL
555 St. Charles Drive, Suite 107
Thousand Oaks, CA 91360
(818) 788-6983

Amendments to the Drawings

Please replace FIG. 4D with the enclosed FIG. 4D (whose drawing sheet is marked "Replacement Drawing") which corrects one of the reference numbers 62 in FIG. 4D to be reference number 64. This correction conforms FIG. 4D to the filed specification.